

Supersphere

D. K. Park^{1*}, S. Tamaryan^{2†} and H. J. W. Müller-Kirsten^{3‡}

1. Department of Physics, Kyungnam University, Masan, 631-701, Korea

2. Theory Department, Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan-36, 375036, Armenia

3. Department of Physics, University of Kaiserslautern, 67653 Kaiserslautern, Germany

(February 1, 2008)

Abstract

The spherical D2-brane solution is obtained without RR external background. The solution is shown to preserve (1/4) supersymmetries. The configurations obtained depend on the integration constant R_0 . For $R_0 \neq 0$ the shape of the solution is a deformed sphere. When, however, $R_0 = 0$, the D2-brane system seems to exhibit a brane-anti-brane configuration.

*e-mail: dkpark@hep.kyungnam.ac.kr

†e-mail: sayat@moon.yerphi.am

‡e-mail: mueller1@physik.uni-kl.de

After publication of Ref. [1] on the description of the D3-brane physics by Born-Infeld (BI) theory, much attention was paid to the stability problem of the D-brane. In Ref. [2] the stable cylindrical D2-brane was considered in which the electric worldvolume field and the non-trivial RR background are involved. In this case the potential allows only one locally stable vacuum, and thus the fundamental string becomes a cylindrical D2-brane via quantum tunneling. The tunneling process has been examined in more detail and generalized in Ref. [3]. In particular, it was found in Ref. [3] that the RR background plays an important role in deciding the type of the quantum-classical phase transition.

If one considers a pure magnetic worldvolume field instead of the electric field, the RR background allows the D0-particles to expand to the spherical D2-brane in analogy to the dielectric effect of Ref. [4]. This dielectric effect has been extended to the tubular case [5] and has also been re-examined from the viewpoint of semiclassical quantum tunneling [6].

More recently, a new mechanism to obtain a stable tubular D2-brane without RR background was observed in Ref. [7] for the case when both electric and magnetic worldvolume fields are turned on. In this case angular momentum is responsible for the stability of the tubular D2-brane, and the Bogomol'nyi-Prasad-Sommerfield (BPS) nature of the solution indicates that the tubular D2-brane is generated by a 'blowing-up' of the D0-particle-charged fundamental string. Since the final tubular D2-brane preserves 1/4 supersymmetries, it has been named 'supertube'.

Subsequently, the supertube solution was found in supergravity theory, and it was found that the existence of the upper bound of the angular momentum is related to the global violation of causality [8]. The supertube solution has also been examined from the viewpoint of matrix theory [9], and has been extended to the tubular D2-brane with arbitrary cross section in Ref. [10] and to the brane-anti-brane system in Ref. [11]. Furthermore, the supersymmetric D3-brane and their dualities have been examined recently in Ref. [12]. Although much attention was paid to the supersymmetric tubular case, upon our knowledge no further investigation has been devoted to a supersymmetric sphere which has the S^2 topology.

Here we examine the spherical supersymmetric D2-brane, or so-called 'supersphere' by

exploring the BI action

$$S_{BI} = - \int d^3\sigma \sqrt{-\det(g_{ab} + F_{ab})} \quad (1)$$

where g_{ab} is the induced metric on the brane and F_{ab} is the worldvolume field strength. Moreover we set $T_2 = 1$ and $2\pi\alpha' = 1$. As target space we choose a flat spacetime with spherical coordinates

$$ds^2 = -dT^2 + dR^2 + R^2(d\Theta^2 + \sin^2\Theta d\Phi^2) + ds^2(E^6), \quad (2)$$

and define worldvolume coordinates as t, θ, ϕ , where

$$t = T, \quad \theta = \Theta, \quad \phi = \Phi. \quad (3)$$

Here we assume that R , which describes the fluctuations of the brane, is generally a function of t, θ , and ϕ . Then the computation of the induced metric is straightforward. Defining the worldvolume field strengths as

$$E_\theta = F_{t\theta}, \quad E_\phi = F_{t\phi}, \quad B = F_{\theta\phi}, \quad (4)$$

the BI action (1) reduces to

$$S_{BI} = \int dt d\theta d\phi \mathcal{L}_{BI} \quad (5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{BI} = -\Delta_s = - \left[R^2(R^2 \sin^2 \theta + R_\theta^2 \sin^2 \theta + R_\phi^2 - E_\theta^2 \sin^2 \theta - E_\phi^2) + B^2 \right. \\ \left. - (E_\phi R_\theta - E_\theta R_\phi)^2 + 2B(E_\phi R_\theta - E_\theta R_\phi)\dot{R} - (R^4 \sin^2 \theta + B^2)\dot{R}^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

In Eq.(6) $\dot{R} = \partial_t R$ and $R_\xi \equiv \partial_\xi R$.

We now take $R_\phi = E_\phi = 0$ for simplicity. Then $\mathcal{L}_{BI}$ in Eq. (6) simplifies to

$$\mathcal{L}_{BI} = -\Delta_s = -\sqrt{R^2 \sin^2 \theta (R^2 + R_\theta^2 - E_\theta^2) + B^2 - (R^4 \sin^2 \theta + B^2)\dot{R}^2}. \quad (7)$$

Since we assumed the time-dependence of R , R is not merely a parameter, *i.e.* it is a dynamical variable. Varying the BI action S_{BI} with respect to R , one can determine the dynamics of R governed by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\dot{R}(R^4 \sin^2 \theta + B^2)}{\Delta_s} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \frac{R^2 \sin^2 \theta R_\theta}{\Delta_s} - \frac{2R^3 \sin^2 \theta (1 - \dot{R}^2) + R \sin^2 \theta (R_\theta^2 - E_\theta^2)}{\Delta_s}. \quad (8)$$

The remaining equations of motion derived by varying S_{BI} with respect to the worldvolume gauge fields are

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \Pi_{\theta,E} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \Pi_{\theta,M} = 0, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Pi_{\theta,E} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \Pi_{\theta,M} &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{\theta,E} &= \frac{R^2 \sin^2 \theta E_\theta}{\Delta_s}, \\ \Pi_{\theta,M} &= \frac{(1 - \dot{R}^2) B}{\Delta_s}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

In the static limit Eq.(8) reduces to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \frac{R^2 \sin^2 \theta R_\theta}{\Delta_s} = \frac{R \sin^2 \theta (2R^2 + R_\theta^2 - E_\theta^2)}{\Delta_s}, \quad (11)$$

and Eq.(9) becomes

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \Pi_{\theta,E} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \Pi_{\theta,M} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \Pi_{\theta,M} = 0, \quad (12)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_s &= \sqrt{R^2 \sin^2 \theta (R^2 + R_\theta^2 - E_\theta^2) + B^2}, \\ \Pi_{\theta,E} &= \frac{R^2 \sin^2 \theta E_\theta}{\Delta_s}, \\ \Pi_{\theta,M} &= \frac{B}{\Delta_s}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

If one considers a static theory from the beginning, the radial equation (8) is not an equation of motion because R is not a dynamical variable. Thus, the solutions of the static theory do

not need to satisfy it. In the following, however, we will show that our solutions preserving 1/4 supersymmetries satisfy the radial equation automatically in a special limit.

From the definition of $\Pi_{\theta,E}$ in Eq.(13), E_θ is expressed in terms of B and $\Pi_{\theta,E}$ as follows;

$$E_\theta = \frac{\Pi_{\theta,E}}{R \sin \theta} \sqrt{\frac{B^2 + R^2 \sin^2 \theta (R^2 + R_\theta^2)}{\Pi_{\theta,E}^2 + R^2 \sin^2 \theta}}. \quad (14)$$

Before solving the equations of motion, let us consider the energy of this D2-brane system. Performing the Legendre transform and using the Gauss constraint, *i.e.* $\partial_\theta \Pi_{\theta,E} = 0$, one obtains for the energy

$$\mathcal{E}_{BI} = \int d\theta d\phi \mathcal{H} \quad (15)$$

where

$$\mathcal{H} = \Pi_{\theta,E} E_\theta - \mathcal{L}_{BI} = \frac{1}{R \sin \theta} \sqrt{(\Pi_{\theta,E}^2 + R^2 \sin^2 \theta) [B^2 + R^2 \sin^2 \theta (R^2 + R_\theta^2)]}. \quad (16)$$

Now, we have to find the configurations $R(\theta)$, $E_\theta(\theta)$, and $B(\theta, \phi)$ which minimize the energy (15). In order to find these configurations, we have to rely on the equations of motion. In fact, however, Eq.(12) does not completely fix the minimal configurations. For example, the equations of motion for $\Pi_{\theta,M}$ in Eq.(12) can be solved by choosing $E^2 = R^2 + R_\theta^2$ or by choosing differently $B = bR \sin \theta \sqrt{R^2 + R_\theta^2 - E_\theta^2}$ where b is a constant. Each choice yields different solutions. This means we need another constraint which makes it possible to fix the minimal configurations. We believe this additional constraint is obtained from the supersymmetric argument.

The number of supersymmetries preserved by any D2-brane configuration is the number of independent Killing spinors ϵ satisfying

$$\Gamma \epsilon = \epsilon \quad (17)$$

where Γ is a matrix defining the κ -symmetry transformation on the worldvolume of the D2-brane and is given in our case as

$$\Gamma = \Delta_s^{-1} (\gamma_{t\theta\phi} + E_\theta \gamma_\phi \Gamma_{\mathfrak{t}} + B \gamma_t \Gamma_{\mathfrak{t}}). \quad (18)$$

In Eq.(18) $\Gamma_{\natural}$ is a constant matrix with unit square which anticommutes with all ten space-time Dirac matrices Γ_X and $(\gamma_t, \gamma_\theta, \gamma_\phi)$ are the induced worldvolume Dirac matrices computed from Γ_X as follows;

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_t &= \Gamma_T, \\ \gamma_\theta &= R\Gamma_\Theta + R_\theta\Gamma_R, \\ \gamma_\phi &= R\sin\theta\Gamma_\Phi + R_\phi\Gamma_R.\end{aligned}\tag{19}$$

Defining the Killing spinor ϵ in Eq.(17) as

$$\epsilon = M_+ \epsilon_0, \quad M_\pm \equiv \exp\left(\pm \frac{1}{2} \Phi \Gamma_{R\Phi}\right)\tag{20}$$

where ϵ_0 is a 32-component constant spinor, the Killing equation (17) is decomposed into

$$\begin{aligned}M_+ [RR_\theta \sin\theta \Gamma_{TR\Phi} + R_\theta R_\phi \Gamma_T + B\Gamma_T \Gamma_{\natural} - \triangle_s] \epsilon_0 + \\ M_- \gamma_\phi \Gamma_{\natural} (R\Gamma_T \Gamma_{\natural} + E_\theta) \epsilon_0 = 0,\end{aligned}\tag{21}$$

which yields two criteria

$$\begin{aligned}(R\Gamma_T \Gamma_{\natural} + E_\theta) \epsilon_0 &= 0, \\ (RR_\theta \sin\theta \Gamma_{TR\Phi} + B\Gamma_T \Gamma_{\natural}) \epsilon_0 &= \triangle_s \epsilon_0\end{aligned}\tag{22}$$

for the preservation of supersymmetry.

Comparing with the supertube case of Ref. [7], one can conjecture from the first of Eqs. (22) that $E_\theta = \pm R$, and ϵ_0 satisfies

$$\Gamma_T \Gamma_{\natural} \epsilon_0 = -sgn(E_\theta) \epsilon_0.\tag{23}$$

Since $E^2 = E_\theta^2/R^2 + E_\phi^2/R^2 \sin^2\theta$ in spherical coordinates, the supersymmetry preserving condition $E_\theta = \pm R$ yields $E^2 = 1$, which is a usual BPS condition [7].

Using $E_\theta = \pm R$ the second of Eqs. (22) becomes

$$(RR_\theta \sin\theta \Gamma_{TR\Phi} + B\Gamma_T \Gamma_{\natural}) \epsilon_0 = \sqrt{R^2 R_\theta^2 \sin^2\theta + B^2} \epsilon_0.\tag{24}$$

Letting

$$B = bRR_\theta \sin \theta \quad (25)$$

where b is some constant parameter, Eq.(24) reduces to

$$(\Gamma_{TR\Phi} + b\Gamma_T\Gamma_{\mathfrak{t}})\epsilon_0 = \sqrt{1 + b^2}\epsilon_0. \quad (26)$$

Since the two conditions (23) and (26) are compatible with each other, the configurations satisfying (25) and $E_\theta = \pm R$ imply a spherical D2-brane which preserves 1/4 supersymmetries. Thus, the remaining problem we should clarify is to check whether the supersymmetric criteria (25) and $E_\theta = \pm R$ are consistent with the equations of motion or not.

To check the consistency we first note that the supersymmetric criteria reduce Δ_s to $\sqrt{1 + b^2}B/b$, which automatically solves $\partial_\theta\Pi_{\theta,M} = \partial_\phi\Pi_{\theta,M} = 0$. The remaining equation $\partial_\theta\Pi_{\theta,E} = 0$ is consistent with the supersymmetric criteria if and only if

$$R^2 \sin \theta = E_0 R_\theta, \quad (27)$$

where E_0 is another constant parameter. Eq.(27) has a solution

$$R = \frac{E_0}{|R_0 + \cos \theta|} \quad (28)$$

where R_0 is an integration constant. The absolute value in the denominator of Eq.(28) is introduced to prevent R from being negative. Thus, it is not necessary if we choose $R_0 > 1$. One can show that the solution (28) with the supersymmetric criteria is also consistent with the relation (14).

Fig. 1 shows the spherical D2-brane obtained from Eq.(28) when $R_0 = 1.5$. Since Fig. 1 is apparently different from tube, our solution represents the ‘supersphere’. It is worthwhile noting that the supersymmetric criteria transform the radial equation (11) into $R_\theta = R \tan \theta$. Thus the solution (28) with $R_0 = 0$ also solves this radial equation as stressed before. Fig. 2 is a spherical plot of solution (28) with $R_0 = 0$. Fig. 2 seems to describe the brane-anti-brane system in the spherical coordinate.

This conjecture gets more support if one computes the energy density for our solution. It is straightforward to show that the solution (28) with the supersymmetric criteria implies that the energy density (16) has the following form

$$\mathcal{H} = T_2 \left(R \Pi_{\theta,E} + \frac{\sqrt{1+b^2}}{b} (2\pi\alpha') B \right) \quad (29)$$

where R in front of $\Pi_{\theta,E}$ appears to represent the string length in the spherical coordinate as shown in the following. In Eq.(29) we have introduced T_2 and $2\pi\alpha'$ explicitly to show that the energy of the D2-brane is

$$H = \int d\theta d\phi \mathcal{H} = nT_f \int R d\theta + mT_0 \frac{\sqrt{1+b^2}}{b} \quad (30)$$

where T_f and T_0 are F-string tension and D0-brane tension respectively, and the charges m and n are introduced by usual quantization conditions $\Pi_{\theta,E} = ng$ and $\int B d\theta d\phi = 2\pi m$. Of course, $\int R d\theta$ in Eq.(30) is a length of F-string. When we take $b \rightarrow \infty$ limit in Eq.(30), H becomes simply the sum of string and D0-brane energies like the supertube case in Ref. [7]. This result can be also conjectured from Eq.(26). If we take a $b \rightarrow \infty$ limit in this equation, Eq.(26) becomes $\Gamma_T \Gamma_{\mathfrak{t}} \epsilon_0 = \epsilon_0$, which is same with that of the supertube case.

Apart from the factor of R the expression $\mathcal{H}$ in (29) is exactly the same as the energy density for the super-D2-brane-anti-D2-brane tube in Ref. [11]. This supports our interpretation of Fig. 2 as a brane-anti-brane pair.

Finally one can compute the worldvolume field strength using our solution which reduces to

$$F = \frac{E_0}{R \sin \theta} dt \wedge dR + bR \sin \theta dR \wedge d\phi. \quad (31)$$

Since $R \sin \theta$ is a radial distance in the cylindrical coordinate, Eq.(31) expresses the Coulomb-like electric field and the uniform magnetic field on the brane.

In the above we have examined the spherical D2-brane which preserves 1/4 supersymmetries. The shape of the D2-brane we obtained depends on the integration constant R_0 . For $R_0 \neq 0$ the shape of the D2-brane is a deformed sphere which we name ‘supersphere’.

However, when $R_0 = 0$, the final D2-brane has a completely different topology, which we interpret as a brane-anti-brane system. It seems to be interesting to examine the corresponding solutions in the context of supergravity theory and the matrix theory.

Acknowledgement: This work was supported by the Kyungnam University Research Fund, 2002.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. G. Callan and J. M. Maldacena, *Brane Dynamics From the Born-Infeld Action*, Nucl. Phys. **B 513** 198 [hep-th/9708147].
- [2] R. Emparan, *Born-Infeld Strings Tunneling to D-branes*, Phys. Lett. **B 423** (1998) 71 [hep-th/9711106].
- [3] D. K. Park, S. Tamaryan, Y.-G. Miao and H. J. W. Müller–Kirsten, *Tunneling of Born-Infeld Strings to D2-Branes*, Nucl. Phys. **B 606** (2001) 84 [hep-th/0011116].
- [4] R. C. Myers, *Dielectric Branes*, JHEP **9912** (1999) 022 [hep-th/9910053].
- [5] Y. Hyakutake, *Torus-like Dielectric D2-Branes*, JHEP **0105** (2001) 013 [hep-th/0103146].
- [6] D. K. Park, S. Tamaryan, and H. J. W. Müller–Kirsten, *D2-branes with magnetic flux in the presence of RR fields*, Nucl. Phys. **B 635** (2002) 192 [hep-th/0111026].
- [7] D. Mateos and P. K. Townsend, *Supertubes*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87** (2001) 011602 [hep-th/0103030].
- [8] R. Emparan, D. Mateos, and P. K. Townsend, *Supergravity Supertubes*, JHEP **0107** (2001) 011 [hep-th/0106012].
- [9] D. Bak and K. Lee, *Noncommutative Supersymmetric Tubes*, Phys. Lett. **B 509** (2001) 168 [hep-th/0103148].
- [10] D. Mateos, S. Ng, and P. K. Townsend, *Tachyons, Supertubes and Brane/Anti-Brane Systems*, JHEP **0203** (2002) 016 [hep-th/0112054].
- [11] J. H. Cho and P. Oh, *Elliptic supertube and a Bogomol’nyi-Prasad-Sommerfield D2-brane-anti-D2-brane pair*, Phys. Rev. **D 65** (2002) 121901 [hep-th/0112106].
- [12] S. Tamaryan, D. K. Park, and H. J. W. Müller–Kirsten, *Tubular D3-branes and their Dualities* [hep-th/0209239].

Figure Captions

Figure 1

The spherical D2-brane which preserves 1/4 supersymmetries. This figure is obtained as a spherical plot with $R_0 = 1.5$ using Eq.(28).

Figure 2

The brane-anti-brane system derived from the limit of the supersphere. This figure is obtained by a spherical plot with $R_0 = 0$ using Eq.(28).



